23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

No. C10-4472 BZ

ORDER RE MOTIONS TO QUASH THE SUBPOENAS

Defendant(s).

Additional Doe defendants have filed motions to quash since the Court issued its Fifth Briefing Order (Docket No. 60) on September 1, 2011. If plaintiff wishes to raise any new arguments in response to these motions, it may do so by filing an opposition no later than September 28, 2011. Plaintiff does not need to file any opposition if its arguments are substantially similar to those raised in previous oppositions to other motions to quash. opposition is filed, any movant may file a reply by October 5, 2011.

Plaintiff is also ordered to show cause in writing by

## Case3:10-cv-04472-BZ Document79 Filed09/20/11 Page2 of 2

. 8

September 28, 2011, why the subpoenas should not be quashed for all Doe defendants that are not residents of this District. The Court will schedule a hearing on this order to show cause only if it deems one is necessary. Dated: September 20, 2011 Bernard Zimmerman United States Magistrate Judge G:\BZALL\-BZCASES\ON THE CHEAP V. DOES\ORDER RE MOTIONS TO QUASH.wpd